## Intraoperative Radiation Therapy in the treatment of colorectal cancer

Paula Cantero-Muñoz  $^1$  Gerardo Atienza-Merino  $^1$  Marisa López-García  $^1$  Galician Agency of Health Technology Assessment (Avalia-t), Santiago de Compostela, Spain







BACKGROUND AND AIMS

-Intraoperative Radiation Therapy (IORT) seeks to enhance local control by administering, during surgery, a high single dose directly to the tumour bed or on a non-resectabe tumour, while moving and protect the sensitive structures, thereby enabling dosages to be raised without increasing related toxicity.

•The aims of this <u>systematic review</u> were to assess the <u>effectiveness</u> of IORT boost for the conventionally treatment of colorectal cancer, in terms of recurrence, survival and impact on quality of life; and to ascertain the <u>safety</u> in terms of acute and late toxicity.

### METHODS

Bibliographic search: from <u>January 2000 to August 2013</u>, in:



Selection papers: two independent reviewers in accordance with pre-established inclusion and exclusion criteria, with any disagreements being resolved by consensus. Manual review was performed of the bibliographic references cited in the papers selected.

Data extraction: were summarised in evidence tables. Study quality was assessed using the SIGN

## RESULTS



## Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer (LARC)

\*Clinical trials: didn't show any significant improvement over controls in terms of efficacy and survival, with local control and overall survival rate of 90%-92% and 64%-70% at 5-year respectively.

\*Observational studies: low local recurrences rate of 2%-19%, local control in excess of 90% and overall survival from 52% to 82%.

Study	IORT Cont		5 years		5 years		5 years		5 years		Mortality	
RCT	72 25	68 27	91.8 89.2	92.8 55.5	8.5 11	5.8	31 26	80 14	70 64	74.8 55	33	21
Pooled	605		88		12		29.2		67		in.	
CASE SERIES	99	68	98	24	2	16	20	20	79	58	1	2
	125		94		5.6		18		82		16.8	
	338		-		6.1		=		80		2	2
	146		86		19		47		52		59	
	243		92		7		5		69		-	
	115		94		6.1		21		74		4.5	
	100		94		3		12		65		23	

# Locally Recurrent Rectal Cancer (LRRC)

- Effectiveness

  5-year recurrence rate: 30-46% and 5-years local control rate: 44-68%
- Overall survival <43% at 5-year, with results being broken down by resection margin status (R0:46%-63%, R1:26% and R2:0%-24%).

Safety
No increase was obseved in either LARC or LRRC disease

- None of the RCT detected significant differences between the two types of treatment, though IORT patients developed greater overall toxicity, with certain complications not observed in the controls. Frequent complications: surgical wound (infection, abscess, anastomotic leakage), gastrointestinal, urethral obstruction and peripheral neuropathy.

N	LC (%) 5 year	R (%) 5 year	DM (%) 5 year	OS (%) S year		
607	Total: 68 RO:79 R1:68	42	53	Total: 30 RO: 46 R1: 27 R2: 16	<1	
147	Total: 57 (3y.) R0: 75 R1:50 R2:28	43 (3 y.)	-	Total: 43.8(5 y.) RO: 59 R1: 26 R2: 24	8.2 (3 months)	
107	44	Total: 30 RO: 30 R1: 65	-	Total: 30 RO: 63 R1-2:11	0	
65	Total: 68 RO 78 R158 R229	29 (5 у.)	46 (3 y.)	39	27.7	
60	44	46.6	47	43	66.7	

### CONCLUSIONS

- Efficacy:

  IORT's association with combined treatment of LARC reporter that, while this association achieves good results, it does not amount to an increase in effectiveness and overall survival, or to a significant reduction in safety regard to conventional treatment.
  Depending on the status of the edges of resection, shown greater benefit in patients who undergo incomplete resection compared with complete resection.

- Safety:

  VIORT does not increase the complication rate, displaying comparable short- and long-term complications.
- complications.

  A matter for concern is the higher incidence of adverse effects related with the surgical incision and the appearance of complications that do not arise in controls.

in the case of recurrent disease, the available evidence is, not only of low quality, but is far less abundant.

Conflict of interest the authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Funding: this study was conducted under a Colaboration Agreement entered within the framework of activities undertaken by the Spanish National Hamilt Service's (Newtork Of Ageneties for Hamilt Technology & Service Assessment, funded by the Ministry of Health, Social Services & Equality. The funders had no role in study design, data interpretation or writing of the report.

Acknowledgement, Dr. Borras Andrefa M. decetor of the Cancer Plant of Cataloins and Dr. Geneza Camania A. had of the Radiotheray Oncology Service of the Complejo Hospitalario Universitatio de Santiago de Composteia, its disinterested colaborat and the commerce contributed.